

TEACHING INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN (BRP)

COURSE

ADVANCED PHYSICS LABORATORY WORK 1

by

Dr. Arief Sudarmaji, M.T.

Undergraduate Program in Physics Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Universitas Indonesia Depok November 2020

UNIVERSITAS INDONESIA FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES PHYSICS UNDERGRADUATE STUDY PROGRAM

| TEACHING INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Course Name | Advanced Physics Laboratory Work 1 | Credit(s) | Prerequisite course(s) | Requisite for course(s) | Integration Between Other Courses | | | |
| Course Code | SCPH602144 | | | | | | | |
| Relation to Curriculum | Compulsory Course | 1 | Modern | | | | | |
| Semester | 4 | | Physics | - | - | | | |
| Lecturer(s) | Dr. Arief Sudarmaji, M.T. | | | | | | | |
| Course Description | After finishing this course, 4 th t in advanced physics and operat extant problems according to th is Bahasa Indonesia. | erm physics stude e (P3) measuring ne applicable laws | ents are expected t instruments in dai of Physics. The la | o be able to analy ily life correctly to anguage of teachin | ze (C4) concepts o solve (A5) ng in this course | | | |
| Program Learning Outcome (| PLO) | | | | | | | |
| PLO-1.1 | Formulating the problems in magnetism, nuclear and part | Formulating the problems in and solutions to mechanics physics, optics, electricity and magnetism, nuclear and particle physics, and solid-state physics. | | | | | | |
| PLO-5.2 | Measuring physical quantitie | es. | | | | | | |
| PLO-5.3 | Processing data. | | | | | | | |
| PLO-5.4 | Interpreting data. | | | | | | | |

| PLO-7 | Applying the knowledge of Physics in community and practical life, as well as identifying and adapting to new things. |
|------------------------------|---|
| PLO-7.1 | Applying the basic concepts of physics to solve problems in advanced physics. |
| PLO-7.5 | Learning the latest instruments that support their work. |
| PLO-9 | Practicing attitudes and skills that support success at work and in participating in community activities. |
| PLO-9.4 | Being able to work in a team. |
| Course Learning Outcome (CLO | |
| CLO-1 | Students are able to analyze (C4) concepts in advanced physics and operate (P3) measuring instruments in daily life correctly to solve (A5) extant problems according to the applicable laws of Physics |
| Sub-CLO(s) | |
| Sub-CLO 1 | Analyze (C4) and demonstrate (P2) concepts in mechanics to solve (A5) problems in daily life, |
| Sub-CLO 2 | Analyze (C4) and demonstrate (P2) concepts in electricity and magnetism to solve (A5) problems in daily life, |
| Sub-CLO 3 | Analyze (C4) and demonstrate (P2) concepts in optics to solve (A5) problems in daily life. |
| Sub-CLO 4 | Analyze (C4) and demonstrate (P2) concepts in nuclear and particle physics to solve (A5) problems in daily life. |
| Sub-CLO 5 | Analyze (C4) dan demonstrate (P2) concepts in solid-state physics to solve (A5) problems in daily life. |
| Sub-CLO 6 | Use (C3) and operate (P3) measuring instruments in physics to solve (A5) problems in daily life. |
| Sub-CLO 7 | Investigate (C3) and report (P2) advanced physics phenomenon and make (A2) laboratory work reports according to existing guidelines. |
| | . Torgional Oscillator |
| Study Materials | Torsional OscillatorMagnetic Torque |

| | Microwaves | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Thomson Tube | | | | | |
| | Thermal Radiation | | | | | |
| | Zeeman Effect | | | | | |
| | Radioactive Decay and Half-life | | | | | |
| | Franck-Hertz Effect | | | | | |
| | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance | | | | | |
| | Rutherford Scattering | | | | | |
| | Electron Spin Resonance | | | | | |
| | Hall Effect (Metal) | | | | | |
| | Hall Effect (Semiconductor) | | | | | |
| | • Hysteresis | | | | | |
| | 1. J.P Holman, Experimental Method for Engineers, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill Book, Inc, 2001. | | | | | |
| | 2. Ogawa Seiki, Instruction Manual: Franck-Hertz demonstration, OGAWA SEIKI, Tokyo | | | | | |
| | Central PO Box No.1618 Tokyo, Japan, 1987. | | | | | |
| | 3. Ogawa Seiki, Instruction Manual: e/m Demonstration Apparatus, OGAWA SEIKI, Tokyo | | | | | |
| | Central PO Box No.1618 Tokyo Japan, 1987 | | | | | |
| Reading List | 4. Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | | | | | |
| | 5. Krane, Kenneth, Modern Physics, 2nd ed., Mc Graw Hill, 1996. | | | | | |
| | 6. H.D. Resnick dan J. Walker, Fundamental of Physics, 6th ed., John Wiley & Son, Inc, 2001. | | | | | |
| | 7. Pasco Heat conduction Apparatus, Instruction Manual 012-09189A, www.pasco.com, 2012. | | | | | |
| | 8. Teach Spin, Faraday Rotation, Guide to the experiment, Teach Spin.Inc., Tri-Main Centre-Suite | | | | | |
| | 409, 2495 Main Street.Buffalo, NY 14214-2153, 2012 | | | | | |

| *** | | Study Materials | Teaching Method | Learning | Sub-CLO | Sub-CLO Weight on | |
|-------|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|------------|
| vveek | Sub-CLO | [with reference] [with est. time | | Experiences (*O- E-F) | General | Specific | Course (%) |
| 1 | | | Introduction o | n course contract | | | |
| 2 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, Writing laboratory work report. (60%) Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |
| 3 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |

I. Teaching Plan

| | | | | Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | | | |
|---|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 4 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |
| 5 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |

| | | | | Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | | | |
|---|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|-----|
| 6 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: Doing laboratory work, Writing laboratory work report. (60%) Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |
| 7 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |

| | | | | Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | | | |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|--|--|-----|
| 8 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |
| 9 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |

| | | | | Feedback: Comments from the | | | |
|----|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|-----|
| | | | | laboratory assistant | | | |
| | | | | (20%) | | | |
| 10 | Sub CLO 1-7 | One of the modules from the course material, according to group allocation [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Laboratory work and report writing. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Pre-test prior to laboratory work (20%) Exercise: 1. Doing laboratory work, 2. Writing laboratory work report. (60%) Feedback: Comments from the laboratory assistant (20%) | Students can report laboratory work results in a report according to existing guidelines. | Students can operate laboratory instruments and achieve good results. | 10% |
| 11 | | | REM | IEDIAL | | | I |
| 12 | | | REM | IEDIAL | | | |
| 13 | Sub CLO 1-5 | Laboratory modules that have been done. [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Presentation of laboratory work results. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Orientation: Material review and presentation exercise (20%) Exercise: 1. Making a presentation on laboratory work results, | Students can explain laboratory work results in presentation form according to existing guidelines. | Students can answer questions from the assessor during their presentation. | 5% |

| | | | | comment from the assessor. (20%) | | | |
|----|-------------|---|--|---|--|--|----|
| | | | | , , | | | |
| | | | | session; and final | | | |
| | | | | Question and answer | | | |
| | | | | Feedback: | | | |
| 14 | Sub CLO 1-5 | been done. [Reference] Leybold-Heraeus, Physics Experiment, vol. 1,2 & 3, Leybold GmBH, 1986. | Presentation of laboratory work results. [Time estimation] 200 minutes | Presentation on laboratory work results, Presenting laboratory work results. (60%) | explain laboratory work results in presentation form according to existing guidelines. | Students can answer questions from the assessor during their presentation. | 5% |
| | | I aboratory modules that have | | Material review and presentation exercise (20%) Exercise: | Students can | | |
| | | | | assessor. (20%) | | | |
| | | | | comment from the | | | |
| | | | | session; and final | | | |
| | | | | Feedback: Ouestion and answer | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | results. (60%) | | | |
| | | | | 2. Presenting | | | |

II. Assignment Design

| Week | Assignment Name | Sub-CLOs | Assignment | Scope | Working Procedure | Deadline | Outcome |
|-------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2-10 | Laboratory report | SUB-CLO 1-7 | Making laboratory reports | Torsional Oscillator Magnetic Torque Microwaves Thomson Tube Thermal Radiation Zeeman Effect Radioactive Decay and Half-life Franck-Hertz Effect Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Rutherford Scattering Electron Spin Resonance Hall Effect (Metal) Hall Effect (Semiconductor) Hysteresis | Individual Homework | 1 week | Submitted laboratory reports |
| 2-10 | Pre-test | SUB-CLO 1-7 | Solving problem sets | Torsional Oscillator Magnetic Torque Microwaves Thomson Tube Thermal Radiation Zeeman Effect Radioactive Decay and Half-life Franck-Hertz Effect Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Rutherford Scattering Electron Spin Resonance Hall Effect (Metal) Hall Effect (Semiconductor) Hysteresis | Answering the problem set | 30 minutes | Submitted problem set answers |
| 13-14 | Presentation | SUB-CLO 1-5 | Presentation of laboratory reports | Torsional OscillatorMagnetic Torque | 1. Making a presentation | 1week | Submitted presentation |

| | | | for modules that | • | Microwaves | | of laboratory | | |
|----|------------|-------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------|----|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| | | | have been done | • | Thomson Tube | | work results | | |
| | | | | • | Thermal Radiation | | | | |
| | | | | • | Zeeman Effect | 2. | Presenting | | |
| | | | | • | Radioactive Decay and Half-life | | laboratory | | |
| | | | | • | Franck-Hertz Effect | | work results | | |
| | | | | • | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance | | | | |
| | | | | • | Rutherford Scattering | | | | |
| | | | | • | Electron Spin Resonance | | | | |
| | | | | • | Hall Effect (Metal) | | | | |
| | | | | • | Hall Effect (Semiconductor) | | | | |
| | | | | • | Hysteresis | | | | |
| 15 | Final Exam | SUB CLO 1 5 | Solving exam | • | All laboratory Work of Advanced | A | Answering final | 100 | Submitted final |
| 15 | | SUB-CLU I-3 | questions | | Physics course materials | 6 | exam questions | minutes | exam answers |

III. Assessment Criteria (Learning Outcome Evaluation)

| Evaluation Type | Sub-CLO | Assessment Type | Frequency | Evaluation Weight (%) | |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | 1. Laboratory report | | | |
| Laboratory work | 1-7 | 2. Pre-test | 1 each week | 70 | |
| | | 3. Laboratory work | | | |
| Presentation | 1-5 | Presentation | 1 | 20 | |
| Final exam | 1-5 | Exam questions | 1 | 10 | |
| Total | | | | 100 | |

IV. Rubric(s)

This rubric is used as a guideline for assessing or giving levels of student performance results. a rubric usually consists of assessment criteria that include the dimensions / aspects that are assessed based on indicators of learning achievement. This assessment rubric is useful for clarifying the basics and aspects of the assessment so that students and lecturers can be guided by the same thing regarding the expected performance demands. Lecturers can choose the type of rubric according to the assessment given.

| Score | Grade | Equivalent |
|-----------|-------|------------|
| 85 - 100 | А | 4.00 |
| 80 - < 85 | A- | 3.70 |
| 75 - < 80 | B+ | 3.30 |
| 70 - < 75 | В | 3.00 |
| 65 - < 70 | B- | 2.70 |
| 60 - < 65 | C+ | 2.30 |
| 55 - < 60 | С | 2.00 |
| 40 - < 50 | D | 1.00 |
| < 40 | E | 0.00 |

A. Conversion of the student's final score

B. Assessment rubric: project report and papers

| Criteria | Score | Indicator | |
|----------------|-------|---|--|
| Introduction - | 4 | Contains: (1) background for the preparation of the report, (2) problem identification / gap analysis, (3) | |
| | | questions (4) objectives, and (5) citing relevant and current references | |
| | 3 | Loads the goal and 3 of the other 4 items | |
| | 2 | Loading objective and 2 of the other 4 items | |
| | 1 | Does not contain the purpose of preparing the report, there are one or more than 4 other items | |
| | 0 | Does not contain objectives and 4 other items | |
| Content | 4 | Structured & cohesive, conducts a comprehensive literature review and performs a complete critical analysis | |

| | 3 | Structured, conduct a comprehensive literature review and complete critical analysis |
|------------|---|---|
| | 2 | Less structured, conducting literature reviews but less comprehensive and carrying out simple critical |
| | | analysis |
| | 1 | Unstructured & cohesive, review of literature is not comprehensive and does not contain critical analysis |
| Conclusion | 4 | Related to the implementation of tasks and there are suggestions for feasible improvements to the next |
| | | assignment |
| | 3 | It is related to the implementation of tasks and there are suggestions for improvement of the next assignment |
| | | but it is not feasible |
| | 2 | Regarding the implementation of the task but no suggestions |
| | 1 | Not related to the execution of duties and no suggestions |
| | 4 | The report is neat and attractive, complete with cover and photo / picture |
| | 3 | The report is neat and attractive, with a cover or photo / image |
| | 2 | The report includes a cover or photo / image but is not neat or attractive |
| | 1 | The report is not neat and unattractive, does not have a cover and photo / image |
| | 4 | Easy to understand, correct word choice, and spelling all right |
| | 3 | Easy to understand, correct word choice, some misspellings |
| | 2 | Less understandable, inaccurate word choice, and some misspellings |
| | 1 | It is not easy to understand, the choice of words is not quite right, and there are lots of misspellings |